

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS AND PROPOSAL (RFQ) #1617-05
RESTORE GRANT CONSULTANT
ADDENDUM #1

Due to the following questions on this RFQ, we offer this amendment for clarification.

- 1) Are the services requested exclusively for the scope of work in regards to Pot 1 of the RESTORE Act funds? We reached this conclusion from the RFQ referencing the Department of Treasury which works directly with the Counties through Pot 1, as opposed to the process in the other Pots. If this is the case, we suggest that you clarify that detail of the scope of work if possible.
Services for this RFQ are not exclusively for POT 1 RESTORE ACT funds. The County anticipates leveraging funding from other sources and will require the expertise of the Consultant to manage all aspects of our grants.

- 2) Section 11.8- Cost Proposal refers to Sections 3 & 5 of the RFQ to relate the scope of work to the cost estimates. We are wondering what level of detail you are looking for in these estimates and if you want us to take into consideration the breakdown of the services to be provided in Section 6?
The County requests that proposers give a percentage based cost proposal that will allow a full expectation of services included in this RFQ, not a detailed line item breakdown of pricing for individual services, thus limiting the scope of work.